## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

| United States of America  | )  |  |   |  |                     |
|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------|
| v.<br>KATRINA MICHELLE GOULD  |  | Case No:   | DNCW310CR000087-008                                     |  |                     |
| a/k/a "Trina"   |  | ) USM No:  | 24913-058   |  |                     |
| Date of Original Judgment: <u>May 19</u>  |  |  |   |  |                     |
| Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A  |  | Pro Se   |   |  |                     |
|   | ·  | Defendant's Att                                  | orney   |  |                     |
| Order Regarding Motion  | n for Sentence Reduc   | ction Pursua                                     | ent to 18 U.S.C.  | . § 3582(c)(2)   |                     |
| Upon motion of ■ the defendan § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of subsequently been lowered and made retros § 994(u), and having considered such moti and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U  | imprisonment impose<br>active by the United S<br>on, and taking into ac  | ed based on a<br>States Senten<br>ecount the pol | guideline senter<br>cing Commissio<br>licy statement se | ncing range the<br>on pursuant to 2<br>et forth at USS | at has<br>28 U.S.C. |
| IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:   |  |  |   |  |                     |
| ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED an  | d the defendant's pre-   | viously impo                                     | sed sentence of   | imprisonment   | (as reflected in    |
| the last judgment issu  |  |  |   | •  | `                   |
| I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GU  | IDELINE DANCE  | (Prior to Any F                                  | lanarturas)   |  |                     |
| Original Offense Level: 23  |  | Amended Of                                       | • /   | 23   |                     |
| Criminal History Category: IV   |  |  | tory Category:  | IV   |                     |
| Original Guideline Range: 120 months  |  |  |   | 120 months   |                     |
| <ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMEN</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amen</li> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment improf sentencing and the reduced sentence</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is above the amen</li> <li>■ Other (explain): Due to the statutory meguideline calculations guideline calculations</li> </ul> | nded guideline range. posed was less than the is comparably less than ded guideline range. tandatory minimum see. Additionally, the ap | e guideline ra<br>an the amend<br>entence requi  | led guideline ran                                       | there is no cha  | ange in the         |
| III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS  |  |  |   |  |                     |
| Except as provided above, all provisions of IT IS SO ORDERED.   | f the judgment dated   | May 19, 20                                       | <u>)11,</u> sh  | all remain in e  | ffect.              |
| Order Date: <u>May 16, 2012</u>   | _  | C.   | ian Da l  | ither  |                     |
| Effective Date: (if different from order date)  | _  |  | O. Whitney<br>States District Jud                       | dge  |                     |